

B 1039
B5
copy 1

THE RECITATION

George Herbert Betts, Ph. D.



THE RECITATION.



The Recitation

George Herbert Betts, Ph. D.

Author of "The Mind and Its Education"

Professor of Psychology,
Cornell College, Mount Vernon, Iowa

Mount Vernon, Iowa
The Hawk-Eye Publishing Co.
1910

LB1039
.B5

Copyright 1910
By GEO. HERBERT BETTS

Published November, 1910

THE HAWK-EYE PRESS

©CL A275686

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER	PAGE
Foreword.....	VII
Introduction	IX
I. The Purposes of the Recitation.....	5
Necessity of having a clear aim—Testing, teaching and drilling as aims—What is to be tested and how—How teaching differs from testing—Aims to be secured through teaching—The purpose and necessity of drill—In what branches most needed— Principles governing drill—Equal skill in all three aims needed by the teacher.	
II. The Method of the Recitation	25
Method differs with aim sought—Two fundamental principles of method—The question and answer method—Inductive teaching—Topical method; use and abuse—The supplemental method—The written recitation.	
III. The Art of Questioning.....	45
Importance of questioning—Freedom from the text book—The principle of unity—Clearness—Definiteness—Other principles—Treatment of answers—Repeating answers.	
IV. Conditions Necessary to a Good Recitation	67
Freedom from distractions—Distractions by the teacher; by the class; by the school; physical distractions—interest and enthusiasm on part of teacher and class—Well prepared lessons—High standards—A spirit of co-operation.	
V. The Assignment of the Lesson	87
Great importance of proper assignment—Teaching children to study—The teachers' preparation for assignment—How to assign a lesson—The bird's-eye view; the analysis; the summary—Principles governing the assignment.	

LB 1039
. B5

FOREWORD.

This little book is an outgrowth of a course of lectures given before various teachers' institutes and the author's own classes in education. The lectures are published at the earnest solicitation of a number of superintendents who desired that their teachers might have the matter in printed form.

It is believed that the subject-matter is vital and concrete, for it deals with the work of the school room, and not with the theories of the educators. The attempt has been made to base all discussions on a good psychology and sound educational principles, but these have been assumed rather than presented. This fact will account for what may seem a somewhat dogmatic method of treatment of certain topics. *The aim is to present immediate and concrete help in the actual problems of the real recitations in our present-day schools.* Therefore the lectures contain much of illustration and little of theory.

The ten questions given at the end of each chapter are of such nature that their answers are to be sought chiefly in the daily recitations of the reader. They may be used simply as helps in reading the book and applying its teachings; they will be found worth while for this purpose. The answers may also be required by the county superintendent as an evidence of careful professional reading upon which to base renewals of certificates.



INTRODUCTION.

The teacher has two great functions in the school; one is that of organizing and managing, the other, that of teaching the school.

In the first capacity he forms the school into its proper divisions or classes, arranges the program of daily recitations and other exercises, provides for calling and dismissing classes, passing into and out of the room, etc., and controls the conduct of the pupils; that is, keeps order.

The organization and management of the school is of the highest importance, and fundamental to everything else that goes on in the school. A large proportion of the teachers who are looked upon as unsuccessful fail at this point. Probably at least two out of three who lose their positions are dropped from inability to organize and manage a school. While all this is true, however, the organizing and managing of the school is wholly secondary; it exists only that the *teaching* may go on. Teaching is, after all, the primary thing in the school; lacking good teaching, no amount of good management or organization can redeem the school.

Teaching goes on chiefly in what we call the *recitation*. This is the teacher's point of contact with his pupils; here he meets them face to face and mind to mind; here he succeeds or fails in his function of teaching.

Failure in teaching is harder to measure than

failure in organization and management. It quickly becomes noised abroad if the children are not well classified, or if the teacher cannot keep order. If the machinery of the school does not run smoothly, its creaking soon attracts public attention, and the skill of the teacher is at once called into question. But the teacher may be doing indifferent work in the recitation, and the class hardly be aware of it and the patrons know nothing about it. There is no definite measure for the amount of inspiration a teacher is giving daily to his pupils, and no foot-rule with which to test the worth of his instruction in the recitation.

And it is this very fact that makes it so necessary that the teacher should study the principles of teaching as applied to the recitation. The difficulty of accurately measuring failure in actual teaching tends to make us all careless at this point. Yet this is the very point above all others that is vital to the pupil. Inspiring teaching may compensate in large degree for poor management in the school, but nothing can make up to a pupil for dull and unskillful teaching. If the recitations are for him a failure, nothing else can make the school a success so far as he is concerned.

The ultimate measure of a teacher, therefore, is the measure taken before his class, when he is conducting a recitation.

THE RECITATION



THE PURPOSES OF THE RECITATION.

Any discussion of the recitation should begin with its aims or purposes; for upon aim or purpose everything else depends. For example, if you ask me the best method of conducting a recitation, I shall have to inquire before answering, whether your purpose in this recitation is to discover whether the pupils have prepared the work assigned them, or to introduce the class to a new subject, such as percentage in arithmetic, or to drill them upon the multiplication table. Each of these purposes would demand a different method in the recitation. Again, if your purpose is to show a class off before visitors, you will need to use a very different method from that you will employ if your aim is to encourage the class in self-expression and independence in thinking.

There are three great purposes to be accomplished through the recitation: *Testing, teaching and drilling*. These three aims may all be accomplished at times in the same recitation, may even alternate with each other in successive questions, but they are nevertheless wholly distinct from each

other, and require different methods for their accomplishment. The skillful teacher will have one or the other of these three aims before her either consciously or unconsciously at each moment of the recitation, and will know when she changes from one to the other and for what reason. Let us proceed to consider each of these aims somewhat more in detail.

I. TESTING AS AN AIM IN THE RECITATION.—Testing deals with ground already covered, with matter already learned, or with powers already developed. It concerns itself with the old, instead of progressing into the new. It seeks to find out what the child knows or what he can do of that which he has already been over in his work. Of course every new lesson or task attempted is in some measure a test of all that have preceded it, but testing needs to be much more definite and specific than this.

The testing discussed here must not be confused with what we sometimes call "tests," but really are examinations, given at more or less infrequent intervals. Testing may and should be carried on in the regular daily recitations by questions and answers either oral or written, bearing on matter previously assigned; by discussions of topics of the lesson assigned; or by requiring new work involving the knowledge or power gained in the past

work which is being tested. The following are some of the principal things which we should test in the recitation.

1. The Preparation of the Lesson Assigned.—The preparation of every lesson assigned should be tested in some definite way. This is of the utmost importance, especially in all elementary grades. We are all so constituted mentally that we have a tendency to grow careless in assigned tasks if their performance is not strictly required of us. No matter how careful may be the assignment of the lesson, and no matter how much the teacher may urge upon the class at the time of the assignment that they prepare the lesson well, the pupils must be held responsible for this preparation day by day without fail if we are to insure their mastery of it.

Nor is it enough to inquire, "How many understand this lesson?" or "How many got all the examples?" It is the teacher's business to test thoroughly for herself a pupil's mastery of the lesson or the knowledge or power required for the examples, in some other definite and concrete way. It will not suffice to take the pupil's judgment of his own preparation and mastery.

2. The Pupil's Knowledge and His Methods of Study.—Entirely aside from the question of the preparation of the lesson assigned, the teacher must constantly test the pupil's knowledge in or-

der that he may know how and what next to teach him. For no maxim of teaching is better established than that we should proceed from the known to the related unknown. And this is only another way of saying that we should build all new knowledge upon the foundation of all knowledge already mastered.

To illustrate: Pupils must have a thorough mastery and ready knowledge of addition, subtraction, multiplication and division before we can proceed to teach them measurements or fractions. And without doubt much time is wasted in attempting to teach these subjects without a ready command of the fundamental operations. Further, pupils must know well both common and decimal fractions before they can proceed to percentage. They must know and be able to recognize readily the different "parts of speech" before they can analyze sentences in grammar.

But not less important than what the pupil knows is *how he knows the thing*. That is, what are his methods of study and learning. The pupil in a history class may be able to recite whole pages of the text almost verbatim but when questioned as to the meaning of the events and facts show very little knowledge about them. A student confessed to her teacher that she had committed her geometry lessons all to memory instead of reasoning them out. She could in this way satisfy a careless teacher

who did not take the trouble to inquire how she had prepared her lessons, but she knew little or no geometry.

The mind has what may be called three different levels of learning. The first is the *sensory* level, represented by the phrase "in at one ear and out of the other." Everyone has experienced reading a page when the mind would wander and the eyes only follow the lines on down to the bottom of the page, nothing remaining as to the meaning of the text. It is easy to glance a lesson over just before reciting, having it stick to the memory only long enough to serve the purposes of the recitation. Things learned in this way are not permanently serviceable and really constitute no part of an education.

The second level of the mind may be called the *memory* level. Matter which enters the mind only to this depth may be retained for a considerable time but is little understood and hence of small value. All rules and definitions committed without knowing their meaning or seeing their application and all lessons learned merely to recite without a reasonable grasp of their meaning, sink only as deep as the memory level.

The third and deepest level is that of the *understanding*. Matter which sinks down through the sensory and memory levels, getting thoroughly into the understanding level, is not only remem-

bered but is understood and applied, and therefore becomes of real service in our education. Of course it is clear that the ideal in teaching should be to lead our pupils so to learn that most of what enters their memory shall also sink down into their understanding.

Therefore, in the recitation we should test not alone to see what the pupil knows, but to see *how he knows it*; not only to find out whether he can recite, but also what are his methods of learning. We should discover not only whether the facts learned have got into the memory, but whether they have sunk down into the understanding so that they can be used in the acquisition of further education.

3. The Pupil's Points of Failure and the Cause Thereof.—Every teacher has been surprised many times to discover weak places in the pupil's work when everything had seemingly been thoroughly learned. With the best teaching these weak places will occasionally occur. It is not less essential to know these points of failure than to know the foundations of knowledge which the pupil has already mastered as described in the preceding paragraph. For these weak spots must be remedied as we go along if the later work is to be successful. Very frequently classes are unable to proceed satisfactorily because of lack of thoroughness in the foundation work which precedes. To

know where a pupil is failing is the first requisite if we are to help him remedy his weakness.

But not only must the teacher know where the pupil is failing, but also the cause of his failure. Only when we know this can we intelligently apply the remedy for the failure. A physician friend of mine tells me that almost any quack can prescribe successfully for sickness if he has an expert at hand to diagnose the case and tell what is the matter. This is the hardest part of a physician's work and requires the most skill. So it is with the teacher's work as well. If we are sure that a certain boy is failing in his recitations because he is lazy, it is not so difficult to devise a remedy to fit the case. If we know that another is failing because the work is too advanced for his preparation, we select a different remedy. But in every case we must first know the cause of failure if we hope to prescribe a remedy certain to produce a cure.

Some teachers prescribe for poorly learned lessons much after the patent medicine method. A recent advertisement of one particular nostrum promises the cure of any one of thirty-seven different diseases. Surely with such a remedy as this at hand there will be no need to diagnose a case of sickness to find out what is the matter. All we need to do is to take the regulation dose. And all patients will be treated just alike whatever their ailment. This is the quack doctor's method as it

is the quack teacher's. If the teacher is unskillful or lazy the remedy for poor recitations usually is, "Take the same lesson for tomorrow." There is even no attempt to discover the cause of failure and no thought put on the question of how best to remedy the failure and prevent its recurrence.

II. TEACHING AS AN AIM IN RECITATION.—While testing deals with the old, reviewing and fixing more firmly that which we have already learned, teaching using the old, leads on to the new. To *educate* means to *lead out*—to lead the child out from what he already has attained and mastered to new attainments and new mastery. This is accomplished through teaching. It is not enough, therefore, to employ the recitation as a time for testing the class. The recitation is also the teacher's opportunity to teach. Teaching as distinguished from testing becomes, therefore, one of the great aims of the recitation.

Teaching should accomplish the following objects in the recitation:

1. **Give the Child an Opportunity for Self-Expression.**—"We learn to do by doing," providing the doing is really ours; that is, providing the doing holds our interest and thought nothing will serve to clear up faulty thinking and partly mastered knowledge like attempting to express it. One really never fully knows a thing until he can

so express it that others are caused to know it also.

Further, every person needs to cultivate the power of expression for its own sake. Expression consists not only of language, but the work of the hand in the various arts and handicrafts, and also of bodily poise and carriage, facial expression, gesture, laughter, and any other means which the mind has of making itself known to others. These various forms of expression are the only way we have of causing others to know what we think or feel. And the world cares very little how much we may know or how deeply we may feel if we have not the power to express our thoughts and emotions.

The child should have, therefore, the fullest possible opportunity in the recitation for as many of these different kinds of expression as are suitable to the work of the recitation. Not only must the teacher be careful not to monopolize the time of the class herself, but must even lead the children out, encouraging them to express in their own words or through their drawings and pictures, or through maps they make or through the things they construct with their hands or in any other way possible, their own knowledge and thought. The timid child who shrinks from reciting or going to the blackboard to draw or write needs encouragement and teaching especially. The constant danger with all teachers is that of calling upon the unusually quick and bright pupil who is ready to re-

cite, thus giving him more than his share of training in expression and robbing thereby the more timid ones who need the practice.

2. **Give Help on Difficult Points.**—A complaint frequently heard in some schools, and no doubt in some degree merited in all is, "Teacher will not help"; or, "Teacher does not explain." No matter how excellent the work being done by the class or how skillful the teaching, there will always be hard points in the lessons which need analysis or explanation. This should usually be done when the lesson is assigned. A teacher who knows both the subject-matter and the class thoroughly can estimate almost precisely where the class will have trouble with the lesson, or what important points will need especial emphasis. And in the explanation and elaboration of these points is one of the best opportunities for good teaching. The good teacher will help just enough, but not too much; just enough so that the class will know how to go at work with the least loss of time and the greatest amount of energy; not enough so that the lesson is already mastered for the class before they begin their study.

But not only is it necessary to help the class on the hard points in assigning the lesson, but also in reciting it. The alert teacher will in almost every recitation discover some points which the class have failed to understand or master fully.

It is the overlooking of such half mastered points as these that leaves weak places in the pupil's knowledge and brings trouble to him later on. These weak points left unstrengthened in the recitation are the lazy teacher's greatest reproach; the occasion of the unskillful teacher's greatest bungling; and the inexperienced teacher's greatest "danger points."

3. Bring in New Points Supplementing the Text.—While the lesson of the text book should be followed in the main, and most of the time devoted thereto, yet nearly every lesson gives the wide awake teacher opportunity to supplement the text with interesting material drawn from other sources. This rightly done lends life and interest to the recitation, broadens the child's knowledge, and increases his respect for the teacher. In this way many lessons in history, geography, literature—in fact, in nearly all the studies, can also have their application shown, and hence be made more real to the pupils.

4. Inspire the Pupils to Better Efforts and Higher Ideals.—The recitation is the teacher's mental "point of contact" with his pupils. She meets them socially in a friendly way at intermissions and on the playground. Her moral character and personality are a model to the children at all times. But it is chiefly in the recitation that the *mental* stimulus is given. The teacher who is life-

less and uninspiring in the teaching of the recitation cannot but fail to inspire his school to a strong mental growth, whatever else he may accomplish.

Most people have powers far in excess of those they are using. They only need to be inspired, to be wakened up mentally by a teacher whose mind is alive and growing. They need to be made hungry for education, and this can be accomplished only by a teacher who is herself full of enthusiasm. Inspiration is caught, not taught.

5. Lead Pupils Into Good Habits of Study.—

It is probably not too much to say that one-third or one-half of the pupil's time is lost in school because of not knowing how to study. Over and over pupils say to the teacher, "I didn't know how to get this." Many times children labor hard over a lesson without mastering it, simply because they do not know how to pick out and classify its principal points. They work on what is to them a mere jumble, because they lack the power of analysis or have never been taught its use.

Very early in school life the pupil should be taught to look for and make a list of the principal points in the lesson. If the lesson starts with a Roman numeral *I*, the child should be taught to look for *II* and *III*, and to see how they are related to *I*. An Arabic *1* usually means that there is a *2*, *3* and *4* to follow; the letter *a* at the head of a paragraph should start the pupil to looking for *b*, *c*,

etc. And if the text does not contain such numbering or lettering, the pupil should be led to search for the main divisions and topics of the lesson for himself.

Of course these principles will not apply to spelling lessons, mere lists of sentences to be analyzed or problems to be solved, but they do apply to almost every other type of lesson. The best time to teach the child to make the kind of analysis suggested is when we are assigning the lesson. We can then go over the text with the class helping them to select the chief points of the lesson until they themselves have learned this method of study.

III. DRILL AS AN AIM IN THE RECITATION.—There is a great difference between merely knowing a thing and knowing it so well that we can use it easily and with skill. Perhaps all of us know the alphabet backwards, yet if the order of the dictionary were reversed so that it would run from Z to A we would for a time lack the skill we now have in quickly finding any desired words in the dictionary.

Certain fundamentals in our education need to be so well learned that they are practically automatic, and can hence be skillfully performed without thought or attention. We must know our spelling in this way, so that we do not have to stop and think how to spell each word. In the

same manner we must know the mechanics of reading, that is, the recognition and pronunciation of words, the meaning of punctuation marks, etc. And similarly multiplication and the other fundamental operations in arithmetic. Pupils should come to know these things so well that they are as automatic as speech, or as walking, eating, or any other of the many acts which "do themselves." If this degree of skill is ~~not~~ reached, it means halting and inefficient work in all these lines farther on. Many are the children who are crippled in their work in history, geography, and other studies because they cannot read well enough to understand the text. Many are struggling along in the more advanced parts of the arithmetic, unable to master it because they are deficient in the fundamentals, because they lack skill. And many are wasting time trying to analyze sentences when they cannot recognize the different parts of speech.

Skill is efficiency in doing. It is always a growth, and never comes to us ready-made. To be sure, some pupils can develop skill much faster than others, but the point is, *that skill has to be developed*. Skill is the result of repetition, or practice, that is, of *drill*.

The following principles should guide in the use of drill in the recitation:

1. **Drill Should be Employed Wherever a High Degree of Skill is Required.**—This applies

to what have been called the "tools of knowledge," or those things which are necessary in order to secure all other knowledge. Such are the "three R's," reading, (w)riting, and (a)rithmetic, to which we may add spelling. Without a good foundation in these, all other knowledge will be up-hill work, if not wholly impossible.

2. **Drill Must be Upon Correct Models, and With Alert Interest and Attention.**—Mere repetition is not enough to secure skill. What teacher has not been driven to her wits' ends to prevent the successive lines in the copy book from growing steadily worse as they increase in number from the copy on down the page! Surely drill with such a result would be long in arriving at skill. Such practice is not only wholly wasted, but actually results in establishing false models and careless habits in the pupil's mind. Each line must be written with correct models in mind, and with the effort to make it better than any preceding one, if skill is to be the outcome.

Much of the value of drill is often lost through lack of interest and attention. The child lazily sing-singing the multiplication table may learn to say it as he would a verse of poetry, and yet not know the separate combinations when he needs them in problems. What he needs is drill upon the different combinations hit-and-miss, and in simple problems, rapidly and many times over,

with sufficient variety and spice that his interest and attention are always alert. A certain boy persisted in saying "have went" instead of "have gone." Finally his teacher said, "Johnny, you may stay to-night after school and write 'have gone' on the blackboard one hundred times. Then you will not miss it again."

Johnny staid after school and wrote "have gone" one hundred times as ~~the~~ teacher had directed. When he had completed his task the teacher had gone to another part of the building. Before leaving for home Johnny politely left this note on the teacher's desk: "Dear Teacher: I have went home." Plenty of drill, but it was not accompanied by interest and attention, and hence left no effect.

3. **Drill Must Not Stop Short of a Reasonable Degree of Efficiency, or Skill.**—Most teachers would rather *test* or *teach* than to *drill*. Others do not see the necessity of drill. Hence it happens that a large proportion of our pupils are not given practice or drill enough to arrive at even a fair degree of skill. Set ten pupils of the intermediate grades to adding up four columns of figures averaging a footing of 100 to the column, and you will probably have at least five different answers. And so with many of the fundamentals in other branches as well. *We too often stop the practice just short of efficiency, and thereby waste both time and effort.*

4. Drill Must be Governed by Definite Aims.—

Probably drilling requires more planning and care on the part of the teacher than any other work of the recitation. Drill applied indiscriminately wastes time and kills interest. To study a spelling lesson over fifteen times as some teachers require is folly. Every spelling list will contain some words which the pupil already knows. He should put little or no drill on these, but only on the troublesome ones. In learning and using the principal parts of verbs it is always the few that cause the difficulty. “He *done* it”; “Has the bell *rang*?” “*Set* down.” These and a few other forms are the ones which give the trouble; they should receive the drill. Likewise in arithmetic. There are certain combinations in the tables, and certain operations in fractions, measurements, etc., which always make trouble. They are the “danger points,” and upon these the practice should be put.

The teacher must aim, therefore, to select the difficult and the important points and drill upon these until they are mastered, being careful not to stop at the “half-way house,” but steadily to go on until skill is obtained. She must be resourceful in methods and devices which will relieve the monotony of repetition; she must be persistent and patient, insisting on the attainment of skill, but realizing that it takes time to develop it; she must possess a good pedagogical conscience which will

be satisfied with nothing short of success in her aims.

The aims to be accomplished through the recitation are, then, *testing*, *teaching*, and *drilling*. These three aims may, as said before, all be carried on in the same recitation, or they may come in different recitations, as the needs of the subject require. Not infrequently they may alternate with each other within a few moments. In every case, however, the teacher should have clearly in mind which one of the three processes she is employing and why. Not that the teacher must always stop to reason the matter out before she employs one or the other, but that she should become so familiar with the nature and use of each that she almost unconsciously passes from one to the other as the need for it arises.

Not many teachers are equally skilled in the use of testing, teaching, and drilling. Some have a tendency to put most of the recitation time on testing whether the class have prepared the assignment, and devote but little time to teaching or drilling. Others love to teach, but do not like to test or drill. It is highly desirable that every teacher, young or old in experience, should examine herself on this question and, if she finds herself lacking on any one of the three, carefully set at work to remedy the defect. The ideal for us all

to reach is equal skill in each of the three processes of the recitation, testing, teaching, and drilling.

EXERCISES.

1. Which do you think you are most successful in, *testing*, *teaching*, or *drilling*? Which do you like best?

2. Explain the difference between a series of questions meant to *test* and a series meant to *teach*.

3. In what classes are you now giving or have you recently given particular attention to *drill*? Why did you think drill necessary?

4. Have you any pupils who seem not to have had sufficient drill in certain subjects? How do you tell whether they have had or not? What are you doing to remedy the defect? Explain fully, giving specific instances.

5. Explain, giving full particulars, any devices which you are using to relieve drill of its monotony in some subject where much drill is required. Be specific in explaining your method.

6. Have you any pupils who are hard to get to recite? If so, what have you found the best method to overcome this difficulty?

7. Have you discovered any pupils who have a tendency to commit their lessons to memory without coming to understand them? If so, what remedy have you found for this bad method of study? Describe some of the cases, giving details.

8. Have you found that some of your pupils write each succeeding line in their copy book worse

the farther they get away from the copy? If so, what remedy are you trying? Does it work?

9. Describe some particular recitation you have recently had in which you used *testing*, *teaching* and *drilling*, all within the one class period. Tell just how you did each.

10. At what points of your work have the suggestions of this chapter been most helpful? Explain with some fullness.

The answers to all the exercises should be written out carefully and with sufficient fullness and detail that they may show clearly just how you have handled in your own school the problem suggested in the question. This note applies to the exercises following each chapter.

II.

THE METHOD OF THE RECITATION.

In our last talk we discussed the aims or purposes of the recitation. We now come to see how these aims affect the methods we employ. For it is evident at the outset that the method we choose must depend on the aim sought in the recitation. If we seek to-day to make the recitation chiefly a test of how well the lesson has been prepared, or how much of yesterday's work has been retained, we will select a method suited for *testing*. If we aim to introduce the class to the subject of percentage for the first time, the method must be adapted to *teaching*. If we wish to make the recitation a drill in the diacritical markings or the multiplication table, the method must be still a different one. In other words, *the method must be planned to accomplish certain definite ends if the teaching is to be purposeful and effective.*

There are certain fundamental principles of method which underlie all teaching, and which, therefore, are to be sought in every recitation, no matter what the special method used may chance

to be. The first of these principles may be stated as follows:

1. *Interest is the first requisite for attention and all mental activity.* A recitation without interest is a dead recitation. Because it possesses no life it cannot lead to growth. Nothing can take the place of interest. Fear may drive to work for a time, but it does not result in development. Only interest can bring all the powers and capacities of the child into play. Hence the teacher's first and greatest problem in the recitation is the problem of interest. To secure interest she must use every resource at her command. This does not mean that she is to bid for the children's interest with sensational methods and cheap devices. This is not the way to secure true interest. It means, rather, that she is to offer to the class subject-matter suited to their age and experience, and presented in a way adapted to their capacity and understanding; that she is to have all conditions surrounding the recitation as favorable as possible; and that she is herself to be constantly a source of interest and enthusiasm. If these conditions are all met the problem of interest will present few difficulties.

2. *The natural mode of learning is to proceed from the known to the related unknown.* This is a statement of what is known as the principle of *apperception*, or the learning of the new by con-

necting it with the old already in the mind. To make use of this principle it is necessary to freshen up what the pupil already knows on a topic by asking him questions or otherwise causing him to think anew the facts previously learned which are related to what he is about to learn. For example, when beginning the subject of percentage, the subject of decimals should be reviewed, since percentage is but an application of decimals and can most easily be learned and understood as such. Likewise in beginning the study of the civil war the question of slavery and that of the doctrine of states' rights should be reviewed, since these are fundamental to an understanding of the causes of the war. In similar manner we might apply the illustration to every branch of study. Indeed there is hardly a single recitation which should not start with a brief review or a few questions to freshen up in the minds of the pupils the points related to the coming lesson. Not only will this insure that the lessons themselves shall be better understood, but the entire subject will in this way come to possess a unity instead of consisting of a series of more or less disconnected lessons in the mind of the child.

Having stated these two general principles of method, we will now consider some of the special forms of method to be employed in the recitation. In discussing these methods and comparing them

it is not to be forgotten that attention and interest are dependent in large measure on change and variety. The same method used day after day in the recitation palls upon a class and invites listlessness and inattention. A teacher should never employ cheap or sensational devices in a recitation just to have something new, but neither should she work a good method to death by too constant use.

I. THE QUESTION AND ANSWER METHOD.—The question and answer method is so familiar to everyone that it requires no formal definition. It is employed in all grades from the primary to the university, and it is adapted alike to testing, teaching or drilling.

This method admits of wide modification to suit it to specific uses. The questions asked may require but a short and simple answer, such as can be given by a primary pupil. They may also require a long and complex answer which will test the powers of the most advanced student. The questions may be detailed and searching, covering every point of the lesson, as when we are testing preparation. They may deal only with certain related truths, as when we “develop” a new subject intentionally by questions and answers. Or they may select only the most important points upon which the class needs drill.

1. When and Where to Employ the Question and Answer Method.—The question and answer

method is particularly adapted to the lower grades, in which the children have not yet developed the ability to recite independently on long topics. This method allows the teacher to encourage and draw out the child by what is really a conversation between the two, the teacher asking simple questions and the child responding to them. In more advanced grades the questions may be so arranged as to require longer and more complex answers, and thus lead up to the topical method of reciting.

The question and answer method is also suitable to employ at the beginning of a recitation to freshen the minds of the class on previous lessons to which the lesson of the day is related. There is hardly one recitation in a hundred that does not require an introduction of this kind. The only true method in teaching is to build the new knowledge on the related old knowledge which is already in the mind. This is what is meant in pedagogy by "proceeding from the known to the related unknown." And the known must always be fresh and immediately present to the mind. Hence the necessity for the introductory review.

This method is also serviceable in reviewing former lessons. By the use of well selected questions a large number of important points already passed over can be brought before the class in a short time.

On the whole, it is probable that we do not re-

view frequently enough in our recitation work. We review a subject when we have finished the text upon it, or before examination time, but this is not enough. Careful psychological tests have shown that the mind forgets within the first three days a large proportion of what it fails to retain. Further, there is great economy in catching up a fading fact before it gets wholly away from us. This would suggest the constant use of the question and answer method to fix more finally the important points in ground we have already passed over.

One of the most important uses of this method is found in all *inductive* teaching. The famous "Socratic method" was simply the question and answer method applied by Socrates to teaching new truths. This noted teacher would, by a series of skillful questions calculated to call forth what the pupil already knew, lead him on to new knowledge without actually telling the youth anything himself. And this is the very height of good teaching—the goal toward which we all should strive.

It is a safe maxim never to tell a child what you can lead him by questioning to see for himself. To illustrate: Suppose an elementary arithmetic class already know thoroughly how to find the area of a rectangle by multiplying its base by its altitude, and that we are now ready to teach them how to find the area of a triangle. Let us

see whether we can lead them to "develop" the rule instead of learning it out of the text; that is, we will proceed inductively. First draw a rectangle 4 by 6 on the board.

Q. What do we call this figure?

A. A rectangle.

Q. How shall we find its area?

A. Multiply its base 4 by its altitude 6; the area is 24.

Q. Now I draw a line diagonally across the rectangle; how many figures are there?

A. Two. (Teacher here gives new word "triangle" and explains it.)

Q. How do the base and altitude of the triangles compare with the base and altitude of the rectangle?

A. They are the same.

Q. How do the two triangles compare in area?

A. They are equal; each is half of the rectangle.

Q. Then, if each is half of the rectangle, what must be the area of one of the triangles?

A. The area of each triangle is 12, for the area of the rectangle is 24, and the area of each triangle is half that of the rectangle.

Q. Then, how may we find the area of a triangle?

A. Multiply the base by the altitude and take one-half the product.

Of course the teacher may have to supplement questions like the above by others to assist the

child in arriving at the desired answer, but the method is the same in any case. The inductive method is the child's natural way of learning, and should be applied to nearly all school branches. Too many teachers have children learn rules and definitions which mean little or nothing to them. This is not only discouraging to the child and a serious waste of time, but it develops bad habits of study by making the pupil think he is learning something when he is not. Only when the fact or process learned is *understood* is it true knowledge. The inductive method begins with what the child already knows and, step by step, leads him to understand the new truths. It comes last to the rule or definition after the meaning is clearly seen.

2. **Dangers of the Question and Answer Method.**—No matter how good a method may be there are always some dangers connected with its use, some points at which a teacher needs to be on guard to see that the method is not misused or overused. The question and answer method is no exception to this rule.

One of the greatest dangers in the use of this method is that pupils will come to depend on the questions as a crutch to help them along mentally when they should be able to proceed by themselves. Not infrequently do pupils say to the teacher when called upon for a topical discussion, "If you will ask me questions upon the topic I can answer them,

but I cannot recite upon the topic." It is very much easier to answer a series of questions upon a subject than to discuss it independently. This is well adapted to younger children; and this very reason makes it a danger when overused with more advanced pupils. We need to learn to think through a subject and talk about topics without the help of a teacher to stand by and ask questions; we need to become independent in our thinking; we need practice in organizing and expressing our thoughts for ourselves.

The second danger we note in the question and answer method is that it does not give as much opportunity for training in self-expression as the topical method. In teaching by the question and answer method, the teacher occupies nearly or quite as much time with the questions as the class do with the answers. This does not give opportunity for practice enough in reciting on the part of the pupil, if the question and answer method is employed exclusively. The only way for a child to learn to recite well is by reciting, the only way to learn to express one's self is by having opportunity for expression.

II. THE TOPICAL METHOD.—The topical method is too familiar to require definition. In this method the teacher suggests a topic of the lesson or asks a question which requires the pupil

to go on in his own way and tell what he can about the point under discussion. There is really no hard and fast line between the topical method and the question and answer method. The fundamental difference between the two is this: In the question and answer method, the question is definitely upon some fact or point, and requires a specific answer bearing on the fact or point of the question; in the topical method, the question or topic suggested requires the pupil to decide upon what facts or points need discussion, and then to plan his own discussion.

1. **Where the Topical Method is Most Serviceable.**—As has already been explained, the topical method requires more independence of thought than the question and answer method, and will therefore find its greatest use in the higher grades. We are not to think, however, that the topical method is not to be used until some certain grade has been reached, and that then the child will suddenly find himself able to use it. The ability to think independently and speak one's thoughts freely is a growth, and is not attained suddenly at a given age. Even little children, telling their language stories, are using the topical method, and should be encouraged in its use. As the grades advance, however, the use of this method should increase, and the length and difficulty of the topics should grow, so that recitation by topics can be

efficiently carried on in the higher intermediate and grammar grades.

Probably the easiest forms of the topical recitation are found in history or reading lessons, where *narration* abounds. Narration deals with a succession of events, and is always found one of the easiest forms of discourse. In proof of this, one has but to note the fluency and ease with which a child will narrate the events of a game, a trip, or an accident, whereas if you call upon him for logical explanations or even for description, as for example, Just what kind of a looking team it was which ran away? much more difficulty will be experienced in telling about it.

Another great field for topical recitations is found in all lines where *description* is required. This applies to all nature study and science, to geography, to certain phases of literature and history. To describe even a commonplace object accurately and well is an art more rare than most of us would think. Suppose you ask the first person you meet to describe fully the house in which he lives or the sunset which he has just seen. If he seriously tries to comply with your request, you will probably be surprised both by the difficulty he has in his attempt, and the little that he really can say upon these familiar subjects. The interesting story teller is a rarity, which is only another way of saying that the ability to narrate and describe

needs cultivation. There is no better opportunity possible than that of the topical recitation.

The topical method can manifestly be used to supplement the question and answer method in testing the pupils on the preparation of the lesson, or in reviewing former lessons. It can also be well used in teaching new subject-matter which does not particularly require the developmental, or "Socratic," method. Illustrations of such material are to be found in much of the work in history and in literature; also in the descriptive parts of geography, nature study and science.

When the topical method is being employed it will nearly always need to be supplemented by questions and answers. Very rarely will a pupil recite upon any important topic with sufficient accuracy and completeness that nothing more needs to be said concerning it. Hence, after the pupil has completed his topical discussion, the teacher can round out the subject, impress the more important points, or correct wrong impressions, by a few questions to be answered either by the pupil who has had the discussion, or by the remainder of the class.

The topical method gives the teacher the best opportunity to teach the pupils how to study. It is safe to say that most pupils consider that they "have their lesson" when they understand it, or think they can remember it. But if the child is to

be taught expression, as well as given knowledge, it is evident that this is not enough. Not only should a pupil be sure that he understands his lesson and can remember it, but also he should think how best to express it in the recitation. The teacher can help the class in this when assigning the topics by showing the pupils how to pick out the main points of the topics, and arrange them in order for discussion. This is, of course, really training in analysis—a power which all pupils need to cultivate.

2. The Question of Standards in Topical Recitations.—The success of the topical method will depend much on the teacher's standards of thoroughness applied to its use. Children, particularly of the lower grades, have not yet developed much grasp of mind, and consequently are not able to judge when they have sufficiently covered a topic given them for recitation. They are likely to think that if they stand up and say *something* about the topic, this is sufficient.

It is at this point that the teacher needs to exercise great care. The child must not be discouraged by harsh criticism, but neither must an incomplete recitation be accepted as a complete one. The teacher must judge carefully how full a discussion should be expected from a child of the given age, taking into account the treatment of the topic in the pupil's text book. Then by questions, further

discussion by other pupils, kindly criticisms, and helpful suggestions the standard should be placed as high as the class can attain. Nor is it to be forgotten that the standard is to be a constantly advancing one.

III. THE LECTURE, OR SUPPLEMENTAL METHOD.—The lecture method is rather too formal a name for the method in which the teacher talks to the class instead of asking them to recite. She may either take the entire period in a lecture, or talk, or she may only supplement now and then the answers or topical recitations of the pupils. This method is almost exclusively used in many universities and colleges, but is not suited for extensive use in more elementary schools.

1. How the Lecture Method is to be Used.—While the lecture method should be employed sparingly in the elementary school, yet it is most valuable to supplement other methods. First, in introducing a class to a new subject or section of work, it is frequently desirable that the teacher should take a part or the whole of a recitation period to explain the nature of the work or to interest the pupils in it. For example: In taking up the discovery of America, the teacher can create interest by telling the class of the wonderful events going on in Europe during the fifteenth century, of the life of Columbus as a boy, of the ships then

in use, comparing them with our present steamships, etc. Similarly for almost every new section taken up in any study.

The lecture method is also useful in supplementing the recitations of the pupils. The teacher's knowledge must be much broader than the text book, and a little explanation added, an incident told, or an application of the lesson made will often do much to broaden the pupil's knowledge of the subject, and will at the same time lend interest to the recitation, besides increasing respect for the teacher's education. There is nothing more deadening to the recitation than a mechanical plodding through the questions and answers of a text book, without any explanation or amplification, and often without much comprehension on the part of the class. The teacher who has nothing of her own to add is incapable of *teaching* in the true sense of the word. At best she can only *test* as to the preparation from the text book.

2. Dangers From the Lecture Method.—While we justly condemn the teacher who has nothing of her own to add to the recitation, we must not forget that there is a danger on the other side. Ask any assemblage of teachers how many think that, in general, their own teachers talked too much in the recitation, thereby monopolizing the time, and two-thirds will thus blame their former teachers for overusing the lecture method. Most

people, when they are sure of an audience, like to talk, and probably teachers are no exception to the rule.

The teacher who is full of information and enthusiasm for the recitation is led by this very fact into temptation. Some point in the lesson suggests an interesting story or illustration, or some additional bit of information, and the teacher starts to tell it to the class. She becomes herself so interested in it that the lesson is forgotten and the class period ended long before the story is completed. This may do occasionally but, once it becomes a habit, it is fatal to good teaching. The recitation as prepared by the class should be the chief interest of the class period. The teacher must learn to supplement without monopolizing.

IV. THE WRITTEN RECITATION.—The written recitation can hardly be called a method, since it can be itself applied to any or all of the methods of reciting. Like all other methods, the written recitation has its strong points of excellence and also its dangers.

1. **The Use of the Written Recitation.**—The written recitation is especially useful in cases where all of the class should recite upon all of the lesson. It is easy to see that by having each of a class of ten answer ten questions, a far larger amount of answering is done in the aggregate

than if only one could be answering at a time, as in oral recitation.

Certain knowledge is used only when we use it in writing. For example, we are never called upon to spell except on writing; similarly forms of letters in correspondence, business forms, punctuation marks, etc. It is safe to say that matter of such kinds should usually be taught by having it written.

The written recitation also leads to accuracy and precision of thought and expression. We all formulate more carefully what we write than what we speak.

The written recitation also gives an opportunity for training in written expression. Every person needs to be able to express himself easily and forcibly in writing. But this requires much practice, and there is no better practice than in formulating in writing the thoughts of the daily lessons.

2. Dangers in the Use of the Written Method.

—Valuable as the written method is, there are certain cautions to be observed in its use.

This method does not ordinarily possess the interest and spontaneity of the oral recitation. There is no opportunity for the teacher to supplement with points brought in. Misconceptions are not cleared up in the minds of the pupils, at least during that recitation period, unless the written papers are read at once. Usually time does not per-

mit this. Many children do not like to write, and hence find the lesson tiresome, especially if continued for a whole class period.

The amount of writing required of children may be too great. Few pupils can write long at a time without eye-strain, muscle cramp and bad bodily positions. Where this is the case, over-fatigue results if the amount of written work required is large. It is not unusual to find schools in which children are required to spend almost half of their school hours in some form of written work. This is a serious mistake both educationally and from the standpoint of health.

There is also still another side of the matter to consider. One of the great advantages of written work is that the pupil may have his errors shown him, so that he may reflect upon them and correct them. But not infrequently, where the amount of written work is too large, the errors are not carefully corrected by the teacher, and not corrected at all by the pupil. This is why many pupils will keep on making the same error time after time on their papers. The correction has not sufficiently impressed them.

All written work, with perhaps rare exceptions, should be carefully gone over by the teacher, and all serious or oft-repeated errors corrected by the pupils who made them. Not infrequently may children be seen to glance over a paper which the

teacher has put precious time and some red ink upon in making corrections, and then crumple the paper and throw it into the waste basket. Sometimes this is done in sheer carelessness, and sometimes in petulance because of the many corrections. This is all a loss of time and opportunity. The teacher should have tact enough to show the pupils that corrections are made on their papers for their benefit, and not as a punishment. And then the pupil should take the trouble to correct the errors, that they may not occur again. Better a thousand times correct carefully an old paper than to write a new one containing the same errors.

EXERCISES.

1. Give a series of questions which you have used or plan to use in *testing* the preparation of some certain lesson. Tell specifically the text book and the pages containing the lesson.
2. Give a series of inductive questions which you have used or plan to use in *developing* some new topic.
3. Give a series of questions which you have used or plan to use in conducting a *drill*.
4. What do you consider the advantages of the topical method? Its disadvantages? Do not give a theoretical answer, but reply from your own teaching experience.
5. When you call on a pupil to recite on a topic, and he does fairly well, but does not fully cover the topic, what means do you take to have

the topic completed? Give some specific case and the way you handled it.

6. Give particular instances in which you have employed the *supplemental* method, and tell what you did.

7. Give a list of questions which you have used or plan to use to freshen up the points of a previous lesson or topic in the minds of a class, so as to secure an "apperceptive basis" for presenting a new topic. Tell what topic you were preparing to present.

8. What written lessons have you had during the past week? Why did you make these particular lessons written instead of oral?

9. Give the plan you took for correcting the papers and tell what use the pupils were required to make of your marks showing errors.

10. Have you found any carelessness or bad spirit on the part of pupils toward corrections marked on their papers? If so, have you devised a remedy? Do your pupils hand in carelessly written papers? If so, what means do you take to secure neatness?

III.

THE ART OF QUESTIONING.

Skill in the art of questioning lies at the basis of all good teaching. When we were children it looked so easy for the teacher to sit and ask the questions which we were expected to answer. When we become teachers we find that it is much harder to ask the questions than to answer them. For to question well, one must not only know the subject thoroughly, but must also constantly interpret the mind of the pupil to discover what question next to ask, and whether he is mastering what we are teaching him.

The famous "Socratic method" of teaching, which has played so large a part in our pedagogical literature, consisted of nothing but a series of inductive questions so arranged, as to lead the learner from what he already knew to what the master would teach him. Good questioning stimulates thought, leads to inquiry, and results in understanding and mastery. Poor questioning leaves the mental powers unawakened, cripples thought and results in inefficiency and lack of mastery.

There are a few fundamental principles which apply to all questioning used in teaching.

I. FREEDOM FROM SLAVISH DEPENDENCE ON THE TEXT BOOK.—The questions of the recitation must of course deal with the matter of the text book and be directly suggested by it. Yet there are two dangers to be avoided in this connection: (1) Questions should not follow the language of the text, and (2) the teacher should not be dependent on the text book to suggest the question itself or to determine the correctness of the answer.

The teacher who has not the lesson well prepared, or who is mentally lazy, has a constant temptation to ask questions in the words of the book. This is much easier than to know the subject and the text book both well enough to formulate original, appropriate questions. An illustration of what is meant is found in the following account of a recitation conducted from Montgomery's American History, the lesson being on the landing of the Pilgrims (pp. 77, 78):

Q. On a morning late in November, what did the Pilgrims do?

A. They sighted Cape Cod.

Q. Two days later, where did the Mayflower come to anchor?

A. In Provincetown Harbor.

Q. While the Mayflower remained at anchor,

what did Captain Standish and a boatload of men do?

A. They went out to explore.

Q. On the shore of the harbor what is there lying?

A. A granite boulder.

It is seen that each of these questions follows the words of the text, and that the answer but completes the sentence of which the question is a part. Questions of this kind only suggest to the memory the statement of the text, and do not cause the pupil to use his own thought in realizing the actual event. Hence they arouse little interest and leave little impression. They train the verbal memory, but leave imagination, thought and understanding untouched. How much better such questions as these:

When did the Pilgrims first sight land?

What land did they see?

What was its appearance?

Have you ever seen a stretch of shore like this one?

Why did not the Pilgrims land at this point?

Where did they finally anchor?

What measures did they take to see whether this was a suitable place to land?

Why is the name "Plymouth Rock" so famous in American history?

These questions cover just the same ground as

the ones above, but they suggest living pictures and actual events rather than the language of the text book.

The unprepared or lazy teacher is also in danger of relying on the text book for her questions even when she does not formulate them in the language of the printed page. Not infrequently teachers conduct the whole of a recitation with the text open before them, hardly taking their eyes from the book, and seeming to have no inspiration or questions not immediately gleaned from the page before them. In extreme cases of unpreparedness they may even have to test the correctness of the answers given by the class by reference to the text. Of course this is all the highest degree of inefficiency. It should not be called teaching at all, for no one can teach another that which he does not himself possess as a part of his own mental equipment. Nothing can be more deadening to a class than to see a teacher, whom they look upon as their intellectual leader, floundering in such a vain attempt to teach something which he does not himself know.

The eyes and the mind of the teacher must both be free in the recitation—the eyes to look interest and encouragement into the eyes of the class, the mind to marshal the points of the lesson and watch the effects of their presentation on the minds of the pupils. A recitation at its best

consists of an animated and interesting conversation between teacher and class. And no conversation can be live and interesting when one of its participants has mind and eyes riveted to a book; for conversation involves an interchange of expression, of spirit, and of personality as well as of words.

It is not meant that a teacher must never have a text book open before her during a recitation. Often it is not only desirable, but necessary that she should do so; but only for suggestion and reference, and never to supply questions and test answers.

It is certainly much better to have the text book before one than to teach the lesson after a disconnected and haphazard fashion from lack of familiarity with its points. An excellent substitute for the text, however, is an outline, or plan of the lesson embodying the main points, illustrations and applications to be made. Such an outline will save the teacher from wandering too far afield in the discussions, will insure unity in the lesson, and make certain that important points shall not be overlooked.

A desirable rule for the teacher to set for herself would be so to prepare for the recitation by mastery of the subject, and by lesson plan or outline, *that she does not need to have the text book open before her when the pupils do not also have*

their books open. The teacher who will heroically meet this standard will soon find growing in herself a feeling of mastery of her subjects and of joy in her teaching.

II. THE PRINCIPLE OF UNITY OR CONTINUITY.—Questions should be so planned that they develop or bring out the unity of the lesson. It is possible for questions to be so haphazard and disconnected that the pupil receives the impression of a series of unrelated facts, rather than a unified and related subject. In good questioning, one question naturally grows out of another, so that the series develop step by step the truth contained in the lesson, and bring it to the mind of the child as a complete whole.

This means that the teacher must know the whole subject so thoroughly that the right questions come to her easily and naturally, and in the right order to bring out the successive steps of the lesson in their logical relations.

The difference between a related series of questions and an unrelated is shown in two lists which follow. Both deal with the same subject-matter, a physiology lesson on respiration. The questions of the first list are not themselves faulty, but there is no continuity among them; one does not grow out of another so as to “develop” the subject in the minds of the class.

What change takes place in the air while in the lungs?

What change takes place in the blood while in the lungs?

How many cubic inches of air will the lungs contain?

How much of this cannot be expelled by breathing out?

How many times do we naturally breathe in a minute?

What are some of the effects of breathing impure air?

How is the oxygen carried by the blood?

What is animal heat?

What is the temperature of the body?

These questions were all answered fairly well by the class, but the answers contained only so many bits of isolated information, and the pupils did not understand the subject after they had recited upon it. Another teacher asked the following questions:

Why must the body have air to breathe?

Of what use is oxygen in the body?

Where does this oxidization, or burning up of worn out cells take place?

But how is the oxygen carried to every part of the body and brought into contact with the tissues?

Where do the corpuscles of the blood get their loads of oxygen?

What gas do they give up in exchange for the oxygen?

Where do they get the carbon-dioxide?

How does air entering the lungs differ from air leaving them?

What corresponding change takes place in the blood while it is in the lungs?

Explain how the change is effected in each case.

Suppose we breathe air that contains too little oxygen, what will be the effect on the corpuscles?

What will be the effect on oxidization in the tissues?

And what is the effect of poor oxidization on physical vitality?

On mental vitality?

The class who answered these questions not only had the information belonging to each separate question, but they understood the lesson as a whole, because each question grew out of those which preceded it, thus making the recitation a unified whole.

III. CLEARNESS.—Questions must be made clear, so that their meaning may be understood. This is not always an easy task, and the teacher frequently misses being wholly clear, as is evidenced by the fact that often when a pupil fails to answer a question asked in one way, he can answer it easily when the wording is changed. This

means that the difficulty for the pupil existed in the question, and not in the answer.

Clearness in questioning involves three factors: (1) Freedom from ambiguity or obscurity of wording; (2) adaptation to the age and understanding of the pupil; (3) reasonable brevity.

1. **Freedom From Ambiguity or Obscurity of Wording.**—This is fundamentally a matter of the use of good English. It requires such a choice and arrangement of words and clauses that there can be no doubt as to the meaning to be conveyed. Assuming a fair command of the language and care in its use, the basis of clearness at this point is thorough mastery of the subject-matter of the questions, so that the teacher himself understands clearly just what he means to ask.

The following illustrations show some questions which are faulty from the standpoint of obscurity of meaning:

What caused Lincoln to issue the Emancipation Proclamation in 1863? (Not clear whether question means why did he issue the E. P. at all, or why did he issue it in 1863 instead of some other time.)

What are the effects of attention to a moving object? (Not clear whether question means effects on the person attending or the effect which the moving of an object has in making itself seen.)

Who chased whom down what valley?

Why has a cat fur and a duck feathers?

2. **Adaptation to the Age and Understanding of the Child.**—Questions which may be perfectly clear to an adult may be hazy or incomprehensible to a child because he does not understand the terms used in the question, or because it deals with matters beyond his grasp. The teacher must keep within the vocabulary of the child in formulating his questions. Where it is necessary or desirable to introduce new words into questions, care must be taken that the child fully knows the meaning of the new terms. A teacher asked a class in elementary physiology, "What measures would you take to resuscitate a person asphyxiated with carbon dioxide?" The class all looked blank. No one seemed to know what to do. It chanced that the superintendent was visiting the school, and he said to the teacher, "Let me try." Then he asked the class, "What would you do for a person who had been smothered by breathing coal gas?" The class brightened up, and every hand was raised indicating readiness to answer the question.

Another teacher bewildered her class by asking, "Which phenomena of the fratricidal strife in the American Republic were most determinative of the ultimate fate of the nation?" No one knew. Had she asked her question in plain terms, no doubt the class could have answered it.

In an elementary history class, a teacher pro-

pounded this question: "What American institutions have been founded on the principle of social democracy?" Not only the terms of the question, but the thought also is beyond the comprehension of children. Such questions are not only useless as a means of testing, teaching, or drilling, but they serve to confuse and discourage the child, and cause him to lose interest in school.

3. **Brevity.**—No matter how well a question is worded, or how well it is adapted to the age and capacity of the pupil, it may fail in clearness because it is too long and disjointed, or because it deals with too many points. Better far break a complicated question up into several simple ones, concerning whose meaning there can be no doubt.

A teacher who had not yet mastered the art of questioning asked her physiology class a question something like this: "Do you consider it advisable, taking into account the fact that none of the vital processes go on as vigorously during sleep as during the waking hours (you remember that the breathing and the pulse are less rapid and the temperature of the body also lower), to eat just before retiring at night, especially if one is very tired and exhausted—a condition which still further lowers the vitality and hence decreases the powers of digestion and assimilation, and would your answer be different if it is understood that the food taken is to be light and easily digested?"

It is needless to say that the class found themselves lost in the maze of conditions and parenthetical expressions and did not attempt an answer. The question contains material for a dozen different questions, and probably the class could have answered them all had they been properly asked.

IV. DEFINITENESS.—Questions should be definite, so that they can have but one meaning. It is possible to ask a question so that its general meaning is clear enough, but so that its *precise* meaning is in doubt. Such questions leave the pupil puzzled, and usually lead to indirectness or guessing in the answer. Failure to make questions definite, so that they can have but one meaning is responsible for much of the difference of opinion on disputed questions.

Many of the stock questions upon which amateur debating societies have exercised their talents would admit of no debate at all, if once the question were made definite. For the ground for debate lies in the difference in interpretation of the question and not in the facts themselves. For example: If a cannon ball were to be fired off by some mechanical device a million miles from where there was any ear to hear, would there be any sound? The lack of definiteness here which permits difference of opinion lies in the word "sound." If we add after the word "sound" the phrase, "in the sense of a conscious auditory sensation," the answer would

obviously be, *No*, since there can be no auditory sensation without an ear to hear it. If, on the other hand, instead of the above phrase we add, "in the sense of wave-vibrations in the air," the answer will obviously be, *Yes*, since the wave-vibrations in the air do not depend on the presence of an ear to be affected by them.

Likewise, in the question, If a man starts to walk around a squirrel which is clinging to the limb of a tree, and as the man circles the tree the squirrel also circles the tree, so that he constantly faces the man, when the man had gone completely around the tree, has he gone around the squirrel? Here the indefiniteness lies in the meaning of to "go around." With this indefiniteness remedied, there is no longer any possibility of difference of opinion.

Indefiniteness may come from the use of certain words, which from their very nature are indefinite in meaning. Such are the verbs *be*, *do*, *have*, *become*, *happen* and the prepositions *of* and *about*. Examples of indefiniteness growing out of such colorless words are found in the following questions, which are types of many asked in our schools daily:

What does water *do* when heated? (Expands, evaporates, boils.)

What *happens* when it lightnings? (Thunder, discharge of electricity, flash.)

What must immigrants coming into this country *have*? (Money, freedom from disease, character.)

What did Arnold *become*? (A traitor, a British general, an outcast, a repentant man.)

What *is* the cow? (A mammal, a quadruped, a producer of milk, butter and beef, an herbivorous animal.)

What *about* the Monroe Doctrine? (A dozen different things.)

What *of* the animals in the temperate zone?

Questions may be so general as to be indefinite. The teacher asks, "Where is Chicago?" The class may answer, "In Illinois on Lake Michigan; in North America; in Cook County." The teacher should know just what answer he desires, and then ask, "In what State; on what continent; on what lake; or in what county?"

Other illustrations of vagueness coming from the use of words of too general a meaning are found in such questions as, What *kind* of a man was George Washington?

When does a person need food?

How does tobacco grow?

What do birds like?

All indefinite questions deserve and usually receive an indefinite answer, and hence lead to and encourage guessing. If the answers to such questions as the above are not indefinite, they must be purely memoriter, merely reproducing the words

of the text without comprehension of any real meaning.

Indefinite questioning usually comes from a lack of clear thinking on the part of the questioner. The teacher herself does not know precisely what she means to ask, and hence cannot be definite. It is safe to say that the teacher's questions covering a subject will never be any more clear or definite than the subject itself is in her mind. Indeed it is hard to be wholly definite in questioning even when one is a perfect master of his subject. Certainly, then, eternal vigilance will be the price of clearness and definiteness on the part of the young teacher who is as yet striving for mastery of what he is teaching.

Besides the foregoing fundamental principles underlying the art of questioning, there are a few secondary principles, some of which are of hardly less importance:

1. Questions should be asked naturally, and in a conversational tone, and not explosively *demanded* of pupils.

2. Usually the question should be addressed to the entire class and, after all have had a moment to think, some one then designated to answer. The reason for this is obvious. If the one who is to answer is designated before the question is asked, the incentive to the rest of the class to think the answer is greatly lessened.

3. No regular order should be followed in calling on pupils. If such an order is established, the lazy and uninterested ones have a tendency to remain inactive until called upon. By the hit-and-miss method of calling no one knows at what moment he may be the next one, hence there is a strong incentive to attend to the lesson. It is also desirable to call on a pupil occasionally the second time very soon after he has previously been called upon. This prevents him from thinking that as soon as he has recited once he can then safely relax his attention.

4. Inattentive or mischievous pupils should be the mark for frequent questions. If it comes to be known that any inattention is sure to bring questions to the pupil at fault, the battle for attention is half won. There is a strong tendency on the part of the teacher to ask for the answer to a question from those whose eyes show that they are attentive and ready with an answer. While this readiness and attention should be rewarded by giving an opportunity to answer, it must not lead the teacher to neglect those who may need the question more than the more ready ones. The questions should be impartially distributed among the bright and the dull pupils.

5. It is highly important that questions shall be asked so that they demand thought in answering, and usually so that the answer must be given

in a full statement. Seldom should a question be asked in such form that a simple yes or no will answer it. This does not require sufficient thought on the part of the pupil, it permits guesswork, and fails to cultivate ability in expression. Answers which may be given in a word or two, or by yes or no may be accepted in rapid drill or review work, and also in the inductive questioning used in developing a new subject, but this form of answer should be used very sparingly in other places in the recitation.

6. The "pumping" question should not be used. In this type of question, the teacher formulates the answer and leaves only the key word for the pupil to supply. The teacher sometimes goes so far as to suggest the necessary word by pronouncing the first syllable or two of it. A dialogue like the following is was heard in one school:

Q. "Columbus was an _____?"

A. "Explorer."

Q. "No, he was an It_____?"

A. "Oh, an Italian."

Such an attempt at teaching would be amusing, were it not so serious for the child.

The teacher's treatment of the answers given is of hardly less importance than the formulation of the questions themselves. It is to be remembered that the recitation is an interchange of thought and expression between teacher and class.

In order to this the response must be mutual. Not alone when the question is being asked, then, is the teacher to be animated and interested, but likewise while the answer is being given. It is neither good pedagogy nor good manners for a teacher to sit unresponsive and inattentive when a pupil is reciting. Not that the teacher needs always to comment on an answer, or say that it is correct; it is rather a matter of manner, of attention and interest to the answer. We find it embarrassing either in a recitation or out of it to talk to a person who seems not to be listening.

Right at this point, however, there lurks an insidious danger. It comes easily and naturally to one to give some sign of assent or disapproval as to the correctness of the answer while it is being spoken. The slightest inclination of the head, the dropping of the eyelids, or a certain expression of the face, comes to be read by the pupils as a sign-board to guide them in their statements. This is, of course, all wrong. The teacher should give absolutely no sign while the answer is going on. Thus to help the child, leads to dependence on the teacher instead of reliance on the pupil's own knowledge. It leads to guessing, and so skillful does this sometimes become that a bright but unprepared pupil is able to steer through a recitation guided by the unsuspecting teacher.

Answers should not be repeated by the teacher.

This is a very common fault, and a habit that is usually acquired before the teacher is aware of it. The tendency to repeat answers probably arises at first from a mental unreadiness on the part of the teacher. She has not her next question quite ready, and so bridges over the interval by saying over the answer just given by the pupil. It is a method of gaining time, but really finally results in great loss of time in the recitation. By actual count, many teachers have been found to repeat as high as 75% of the answers given in the recitation. Besides the great waste of time, the repetition of answers is a source of distraction and annoyance to pupils. No one enjoys having his words said over after him constantly. Of course answers may sometimes need to be repeated to emphasize some important point. But when repetition has become a habit, no emphasis is gained by the repetition.

Finally, answers should be required in good English, clear and definite, like the questions. Pupils who say, "An improper fraction is 'where' the numerator is greater than the denominator"; "A compound sentence is 'when' it has two or more independent clauses," should be led to restate their answers in clear and correct language.

EXERCISES.

1. To what extent do you find it possible to get

along without the text book in conducting recitations? Do you find it necessary to use a written outline or lesson plan when you dispense with the book? In what lessons do you think it is better for the teacher to have the book open?

2. Do you find in your own case a tendency to ask questions in the words of the text? Have you noticed whether the children have a tendency to answer in the words of the text? What objection is there to pupils answering in the words of the text?

3. Select some lesson which you will soon reach in your work and write down a list of the questions you plan to ask in the recitation. Give the name of the book, and the pages of the lesson.

4. Have you caught yourself asking questions in the recitation which were faulty from the standpoint of clearness? If so, give the particulars. If not, keep watch for a few days and then answer this question.

5. Keep for one week a list of all the questions you notice asked by yourself or your pupils which are faulty because of not being definite. Report the results.

6. Is it your custom to call on the pupil who is to answer before you state the question, or to ask the question first and then designate the one who is to answer? Give reasons for the plan you follow.

7. Do you find that you have a tendency to give some sign of approval or disapproval while an answer is being given? Do you think there are any

circumstances under which it might be well to offer the pupil some help with the answer? Explain.

8. Do you find yourself repeating answers after the pupils? What seems to you the chief objections to this habit?

9. Do you find your pupils using incorrect forms of answer such as are described in the last paragraph of the chapter? If so, what measures are you taking to remedy the defect?

10. Write with some fullness whether the suggestions of this chapter have been helpful to you, and if they have, in just what particulars.



IV.

CONDITIONS NECESSARY TO A GOOD RECITATION.

We have now discussed the aim of the recitation, its methods, and the principles governing the art of questioning. But no matter how well defined the aim for the recitation, no matter how excellent its method, no matter how skilled the teacher may be in the art of questioning, these things alone cannot make a good recitation. Certain other fundamental conditions must obtain if the recitation is to be a success. Let us now discuss the more important of these conditions.

I. FREEDOM FROM DISTRACTIONS.—Distractions of any nature result in a double waste. First, a waste of power through preventing concentration and continuity of thought. No matter how hard one may try he cannot secure the best results from his mental effort, if his stream of thought is being broken in upon. The loss by this process is comparable to that involved in running a train of cars, and stopping it every ten rods instead of every ten or every one hundred miles.

But this form of waste is not all. There is also a serious waste of interest and enthusiasm resulting from interrupted recitations. Every teacher has at times felt the sudden drop in attention and interest on the part of the class after some interruption which took the minds of the class off the subject. Try as hard as the teacher may, it is impossible to go back to the same level of efficiency following such a break. The following are some of the chief sources of distractions:

1. **Distractions by the Teacher.**—It may seem strange to accuse the teacher of causing distraction, but it is none the less true that many teachers are to be criticised on this point. Any striking feature or peculiarity of manner, dress, or carriage which attracts the attention of the class is a distraction. A loud or ill-modulated voice, tones too low or indistinct to be heard well, the habit of walking up and down the aisles or back and forth before the class, assuming awkward positions standing or sitting before the class—these are all personal factors which the teacher needs to keep constantly under surveillance.

The teacher may also distract the class by answering questions asked by the pupils at their seats, or by rebuking misdemeanors seen among those not in the recitation. Most of such interruptions are wholly unnecessary, and could be avoided by a little foresight and management. The lessons

should be so well assigned that they will not need to be asked over again, and then there should be a penalty for forgetting them. The drinks should be secured and the errands attended to between classes. The pencils should be supplied and sharpened before the session begins. The mischievous culprits should be taught that it is a serious offense to interrupt a recitation. The teacher who permits these distractions by the school has not yet learned the secret of good management, and could hardly advertise her inefficiency in this regard any more effectively than by permitting such interruptions to continue.

It is also possible for the teacher to distract the person reciting by interrupting when there is a slight pause to think of the next point, or a hesitation before pronouncing a word. Teachers sometimes even interrupt a pupil who is reciting and themselves offer explanations, make remarks, or continue the discussion, leaving the child standing and not knowing whether he is excused or not. Of course this is bad manners on the part of the teacher, and it is even worse pedagogy. It is not encouraging to the pupil to feel that he may be interrupted at any moment, and few can think clearly or recite well when expecting such interruptions. The pupil should not expect to be allowed to think out a lesson or point when he is reciting, which he should have thought out before coming to class.

On the other hand the teacher must remember that the child's mind is working on what to him is new and difficult matter, and hence cannot move as rapidly as the teacher's.

2. **Distractions by the Class.**—Inattention, restlessness and mischief are great sources of distraction from the class themselves. All these things have a tendency to be contagious, and in any case always break in upon the train of thought of the recitation. Because of this the teacher *must* win the inattentive and restless, and *must* check the restless if she would save her recitation.

Not infrequently, in the more elementary classes, a certain kind of distraction is fostered and encouraged by the teacher with the aim of securing the attention of the whole class to the one who is reciting. This form of distraction consists in having the whole class watch the one who is reciting and, if he makes an error, those who observe the error are at once to raise their hands, and the one reciting must stop. This is a mistake from almost every standpoint, and has very little to redeem it. It may result in closer attention on the part of the class; but the motive which prompts the attention is bad. It leads to elation and rejoicing over the mistakes and failures of another, and it centers attention on the mistakes rather than on the facts to be brought out. Attention should be trained so that it will not have to depend on this kind of

motive, and the memory should be trained to note and hold a correction until the one reciting has finished. Further, it is a most serious distraction to the one who is reciting to be expecting that a forest of hands may at any moment be wildly waving about his ears, gleefully announcing that he has made an error. Condemnation of this method of securing attention can hardly be too severe.

3. **Distractions by the School.**—In any busy, working school there is bound to be more or less of hum and confusion. In many schools, however, there is much more confusion than is warranted. It is true that children get tired of sitting still for an entire session, and that they find relief in going for a drink, or going to the dictionary, or on some other errand about the room. In some schools, one or more pupils may be found walking about the room at almost any time of the day, and not infrequently several are on errands at the same time. This, as previously noted, is usually a fault in management on the part of the teacher. The larger part of these interruptions can just as well be saved by a little foresight and firmness.

Some teachers even leave the class which they are hearing to answer questions or give help to pupils in the school who have not been trained to wait for their requests until the class is dismissed. Usually a very small percentage of these questions should have been asked at all, or would have been

with the proper management of the school. And all the necessary questions and requests should almost without exception be held for the interval between recitations. The school should be taught that nothing short of the direst necessity will warrant asking a question or making a request during a recitation.

Likewise in the case of misdemeanors. The class which is reciting should not be interrupted for minor misdemeanors which occur during the recitation. This does not mean that the misdemeanor is to go by unnoticed. On the contrary, the settlement for it may be all the more severe for having to wait until the class is dismissed.

4. Distractions by the Physical Environment.—Distractions from the physical environment may be of several kinds. Not infrequently the recitation seat is so placed with reference to windows that the light strikes the eyes of the pupils, instead of the pages of the books; or it may be that a stray sunbeam strikes athwart the class and dazzles the eyes. It need hardly be suggested that no such distraction as this should go unremedied.

In the rural schools the recitation seats are often near the stove, where the temperature becomes unbearably hot when the stove must be generously fired to heat the remainder of the room. Not infrequently the ventilation is bad, and the room is filled with foul air, from which the major part of

the oxygen has been exhausted. No matter how good the intentions of the class or how zealous the teacher, such conditions will kill the recitation.

Whatever may be the cause of physical discomfort or unrest should be remedied. One's body should be so comfortable and healthy that it does not attract attention to itself, except when needing food or other care, and it is the duty of the school to do all possible to bring this condition about.

II. INTEREST AND ENTHUSIASM.—Interest is the foundation of all mental activity. Its very nature is to lead to thought and action. Grown ardent, interest becomes enthusiasm, "without which," says Emerson, "nothing great was ever accomplished." On the other hand, the absence of interest leaves the pupil lifeless and inert mentally, his work a bore and achievement impossible. Interest is, therefore, a first consideration in the recitation.

I. Interest and Enthusiasm on the Part of the Teacher.—Interest is contagious. Enthusiasm is caught, not taught. No one ever saw an interested and enthusiastic teacher with a dull and lifeless class. Nor can interest and enthusiasm on the part of a class continue in the presence of a mechanical and lifeless teacher. The teacher is the model, and she sets the standard and pace for her class. Unconsciously the pupils come, under the influence of the teacher's personality, to reflect her

type of mind and attitude toward the work of the school. The teacher's interest and vivacity in the recitation depend on many factors, some of which are largely under her own control.

a. The teacher's command of the subject-matter of the recitation.—A teacher whose grasp of the lesson is doubtful, who does not feel sure that she is a master of all its points, who fears that questions may be asked which she cannot answer or points raised which she cannot explain, can hardly possess an attitude of true interest toward the recitation. Her mind is too full of worry and strain and embarrassment. She lacks the sense of ease and freedom which comes from a sense of mastery.

Command of the subject-matter of the recitation depends, *first* on the teacher's general mastery of the branch, and, *second*, on being freshly prepared upon it. It behooves every young teacher, therefore, to strive for mastery as she teaches.

But no matter how good the preliminary preparation, this cannot take the place of the fresh daily review, which gives the mind a new readiness and grasp on the subject. Let the teachers who feel that their recitations are slow and dull, seek the cause first of all in their own lack of preparation in one of the two lines mentioned.

b. The teacher's attitude toward her work.—If the teacher looks upon teaching as a mechanical

process; if she looks on the recitation as "hearing the class recite;" if she realizes nothing of the opportunities and responsibilities connected with teaching children, then she can command little interest and no enthusiasm. If, on the other hand, teaching is to the teacher a joy; if she loves to watch the minds of children unfold; if she rejoices in her opportunities and responsibilities as a teacher, then she is sure to develop an interest which will soon intensify with enthusiasm.

c. The teacher's health.—All have experienced the mental depression and lack of interest in things which comes from over-fatigue. The most interesting occupation palls on us when we are fagged, or when our vitality is low from derangement of health. A case of indigestion may sweep us out of our usual cheery mood into a mood of discouragement and pessimism. Frayed nerves and an ill-nourished or exhausted brain are fatal to enthusiasm.

Teaching is found to be a very trying occupation on the general health, and particularly on the nervous system. Many girls break down, or develop a chronic nervous trouble in a few years in the school room. The combined work and worry prove too much for their strength and not infrequently, also, the teacher who boards, and carries a cold luncheon to school fails to secure the right kind of food. This is especially true in the rural

schools. Farmers have enough to eat, but often the food suitable for men engaged in heavy manual labor is wholly unsuited for one who works with the brain and does not have a large amount of outdoor exercise.

Nor do teachers always secure enough pure air. The air of schoolrooms is usually vitiated to such a degree that one on coming in from the outdoor air can detect a foul odor. But the air of a room ceases to be fit to breathe long before an odor can be detected from its impurities.

These are some of the chief factors which are proving so fatal to the health of many of our teachers, and to interest and enthusiasm on the part of the teacher in her work. Both for the sake of her health and her work, every teacher should seek to control these three factors as far as possible. Strain and worry and wear of nerves can be greatly lessened by careful planning of work, by good organization and careful management, and by exercise of the will to prohibit worry over matters large or small when worry will not help solve them. The teacher can in some degree determine what food she will eat, even if it means a change of boarding place. And surely every teacher can control the supply of fresh air for the schoolroom and her bedroom, and this is perhaps the most important of all.

d. Experience.—The young teacher, without ex-

perience, may from sheer embarrassment and lack of mastery fail to show the enthusiasm which she would like, for embarrassment of any kind and enthusiasm do not thrive well together. But if the teacher is really fundamentally interested in her teaching, the enthusiasm will soon come. And better a thousand times the young teacher who is earnestly fighting for freedom and mastery in the recitation, than the old teacher who has grown wearied of the routine and has made out of the recitation a machine process.

III. LESSONS WELL PREPARED.—Probably the worst of all drawbacks to good recitations is poorly prepared lessons. One of the greatest criticisms to which our educational system is open is that teachers try to teach and pupils try to recite lessons which are badly or indifferently prepared by both. There is nothing more stupefying to the mind, or more fatal to interest in school work than the halting, stumbling, ineffective recitations heard in many schools. Teachers who try to teach lessons with which they are not thoroughly familiar are but blind leaders of the blind, and both they and their pupils are sure to fall into the ditch.

I. **Preparation by the Teacher.**—The teacher is the key to the situation. If she herself lacks in preparation, she can neither lead nor compel her pupils to the preparation of their lessons. She

sets the standard. A stream does not rise higher than its source.

The teacher's preparation has two different aspects: (1) The general fundamental knowledge of the subject as a whole obtained by previous study; and (2) the daily preparation by study, thought, or reading for the recitation.

In general it is safe to say that teachers enter upon their vocation without sufficient education. Our certificate requirements are low, and many enter upon teaching with little or no more schooling than that obtained in the schools where they go to teaching. Of course this is radically wrong, but it is the fault of our school system and not of the teacher. It behooves teachers entering upon their work with this scanty preparation to recognize their limitations, however, and do their best to remedy them. Low grade of certificate, low standings in any branches, or the teacher's own consciousness of lack of mastery should be sufficient to send the sincere and earnest teacher to school again, even if this must be to summer schools instead of longer sessions. This sacrifice will not only pay abundantly in higher salary, but also in greater teaching power and in the sense of greater mastery and personal growth.

But no amount of preparation in a branch will relieve a teacher of the necessity of daily preparation for the recitation. Dr. Arnold expressed this

thought when he said: "I prefer that my pupils shall drink from a running stream, rather than from a stagnant pool." In order that one may handle a line of thought easily it must be *fresh* in his mind; it is not enough that he has once known it well. One of the master teachers of our country, a university professor who is recognized as a great authority in his chosen subject, Latin, recently said to a group of Latin teachers: "I have taught Cicero for twenty years, until I know it by heart. But yet, every day, one hour before the time for my Cicero class, I go to my study and spend an hour with Cicero, just to get into the spirit of it. I would not dare to meet my class without it."

It is true that the teacher with twenty or more classes a day cannot spend an hour on the preparation of each lesson. But most of the lessons will not require so much—sometimes it is an outline or a lesson plan, sometimes reading the lesson over to freshen the mind upon it, sometimes it is only to think the lesson through to get its plan and topics into the mind. It may at times, however, mean hard and serious study to master the difficult points and their presentation. But whatever it means, the conscientious and growing teacher will go to the lesson prepared to teach it in such a way as to inspire to high standards and mastery on the part of the pupils.

2. **Preparation by the Class.**—But in addition to the well prepared teacher, there must also be a well prepared class. The teacher cannot make bricks without straw. Every failure to recite when called upon is a dead weight upon the progress of the recitation; and each failure makes it easier for the next one to fail with impunity, or at least without disgrace. It therefore behooves the teacher who would have inspiring recitations to lead the pupils to a high standard of preparation.

The pupil's preparation of the lesson should include two distinct lines: (1) Mastery of the facts, thought, or meaning of the lesson, and (2) thought or plans as how best to express the lesson in the recitation. Most pupils think they "have their lesson" when they have memorized it or come to understand it. They must also be made to see that an important part of their preparation lies in *the ability to tell well what they have learned*.

IV. **HIGH STANDARDS.**—There is no more potent force than public opinion to compel to high achievement or restrain from unworthy acts. A school in which the standards of preparation and recitation are low presents a difficult problem for the teacher in the recitation. In some schools pupils who are diffident about reciting, or who do not care to take the trouble, shake their heads in refusal almost before their hear the question in full. Others sit in stolid silence when called upon,

and make no response of any kind. In still other cases the class smile or giggle when several have been called upon and have failed to recite, thus taking the failure as a joke.

Of course such a lack of standards proclaims the previous teaching to have been weak and bungling. It shows the effects of a teacher without standards or skill. But the immediate question is how to remedy such an evil situation when one finds it existing in a school.

It is probable that low standards come as often from work which is too difficult or too great in amount as from any other source. If the child fails to understand the lesson, or has not had time to master it, he cannot recite, however much he may desire to. All that is left for him is to decline when called upon. He may be chagrined at first over his failure, but if failure follows failure, he soon ceases to care, when unable to recite. The remedy suggests itself at once; assign lessons which are within the child's ability, and also within the time available for their preparation. Then *insist that the work be done and the recitation be made.*

If the failure comes from laziness, lack of study, indulgence in mischief, or any such cause, the remedy will be a different one. But a remedy must be devised and applied. No school can run successfully without good standards well maintained

for the recitation. The teacher who feels that the standards of the school are too low in this particular should never be satisfied until the cause for such a condition is discovered, and worthy standards instituted. This will be one of the hardest tests upon the teacher's ingenuity and skill.

The public opinion of the school must be brought to take the recitation seriously. It must not be a cause for levity when several pupils fail. Failure must come to be looked forward to with apprehension, and back upon with humiliation. And all this must be done without scolding and bickering. It must be done with great patience and good nature, but it must be done. The teacher must himself have a high standard of excellence, and must persistently impress this upon his class. Here again the ideals of the teacher are contagious.

V. A SPIRIT OF CO-OPERATION.—Much depends on the spirit with which class and teacher enter upon the recitation. If the spirit of co-operation is lacking; if the relations between teacher and pupils are strained or not cordial; if the class look upon the recitation as a kind of game in which the teacher tries to corner and catch the class, and the class tries to avoid being cornered and caught, then the recitation is certain to be a failure.

Under skillful teaching the pupils should come to look forward to the recitation with pleasure and anticipation. It should be a time when teacher and

class work together in whole-hearted, enthusiastic effort, with the common aim of bringing the class to master more fully the matter of the lesson. There should be no feeling that the teacher has one aim and the class another aim, or that their interests are in any way antagonistic; no feeling that the teacher's highest ambition is to catch pupils in errors, and the pupil's highest achievement to avoid being caught. There should be no attempt at bluffing, or covering up errors or points not understood.

Probably the greatest factor in establishing and maintaining a spirit of co-operation between teacher and class is a deep-seated and sympathetic desire on the part of the teacher to be helpful. If her attitude is that of a friend and co-worker, and her criticisms and corrections are all made in the spirit of helping to a better understanding rather than in the spirit of faultfinding, this will go far toward establishing a spirit of co-operation in the class.

This does not mean that the teacher shall be weak, and let mistakes or failures go by unnoticed. Weak teachers are never liked or respected. It only means that the teacher, 'in making corrections or calling attention to failures, shall manifest the spirit of a helper and not of a faultfinder. It means that no matter how many times a teacher may have to correct or even punish a pupil, her attitude toward the pupil will still be cordial and friendly. There are many persons who cannot correct a fault

without having some enmity arise toward the one corrected. But what the teacher needs is to be able to correct, rebuke or punish and at the same time keep the heart warm toward the wrongdoer. This will not only secure better results from the corrections, but will also foster the spirit of helpfulness and co-operation between teacher and school.

Finally, the class should be brought to see that the school is *their* school, and not the teacher's school or the board's school. They should realize that failure or low achievement is their loss, and not the teacher's loss. They should feel that their interests and those of the teacher, the board, and the taxpayers who support the school are all *common* interests, and that only as the pupils do their part will the interests of all be conserved.

EXERCISES.

1. What forms of distraction do you find most common in your school? What plans are you trying as a remedy?

2. Are the physical conditions of your school, such as light, heat, and ventilation, satisfactory? If not, what needs to be done? Have you any children in your school with defective sight or hearing? With adenoid growths in the nasal passages causing them to breathe through the mouth?

3. Do you find it possible to prepare for each lesson specifically from day to day? If not, why do you find it impossible?

4. Do you find teaching particularly trying on

your general health or your nerves? Do you worry over your work or dream about it nights? Are you using any special care to keep your health good while teaching? Explain.

5. Do you find a low standard of preparation and reciting in your school? If so, what plans are you trying to set a higher standard? How are you succeeding?

6. Do you enjoy teaching school? Do your pupils seem to like to go to school?

7. Do you find any of the spirit described in the chapter causing pupils to be resentful and antagonistic when corrected? If so, have you found any way of curing this spirit? Explain.

8. What conditions other than those discussed in the chapter have you found tending to help or hinder in the recitation? Explain these fully.

9. What seems to you to be the hardest thing about making your recitations a success? Have you a remedy for the difficulties?

10. Are there any points made in the chapter which seem to you impracticable in your school? If so, explain fully.



V.

THE ASSIGNMENT OF THE LESSON.

Upon the proper assignment of the lesson depends much of the success of the recitation, and also much of the pupils' progress in learning how to study. The assignment of the lesson thus becomes one of the most important duties of the recitation period. Too many times this is left until the very close of the class hour, when there is no time left for proper assignment, and the teacher can only say, "Take the next four pages," or "Work the next twenty problems."

We forget that children do not understand how to go to work at the lesson as we know how. The result is that they come back to the next recitation listless and uninterested, with the lesson not prepared. Or, it may happen that the less timid ones, when they come to study the lesson, call upon the teacher to show them how to go to work. The teacher has then to take time needed for other things to show different individuals what should have been presented to the entire class when the lesson was assigned. Such a method is comparable with giving a set of tools into the hands of novices

who do not know how to use them, and then, without any instruction in the use of the tools, expecting them to turn out good work, without loss of time.

Little children are unfamiliar with books, paragraphs, outlines, divisions and subdivisions of subjects. They hardly know even how to "gather thought" from a printed page, and yet we expect them to "get their lesson" without being shown how to go at it. Much time is lost in this way, and many children are discouraged in their work and caused to dislike going to school.

The Germans far excel us in this feature of their school work. No class of German children are ever sent to their seats with the simple direction to take so many pages in advance. Teacher and class together go over the next lesson, the teacher calling the attention of the class to the points of the lesson, asking them to hunt out subdivisions, etc., and instructing them how to prepare the lesson. And the class, having this necessary help are able to prepare their lesson better and recite it better than the American children of the same age.

There are three chief reasons why teachers do not give more attention to the assignment of the lesson: (1) Lack of time, (2) failure themselves to prepare the lesson in advance so as to be able to assign it; and (3) lack of understanding of proper methods of study.

Lack of time is not an adequate excuse for fail-

ure properly to assign the lesson. If there is but fifteen minutes for the recitation, all the more reason why this time should be used to the best advantage for the pupils. If one-third of this time should be taken for the assignment of the next lesson (and this is usually not too large a proportion in elementary classes), then this much time should be taken. And, besides, if the lesson is well assigned, so that it is better understood and prepared by the class, more can be accomplished in ten minutes of actual reciting than in fifteen under the old method.

It may sometimes be advisable to assign the advance lesson at the beginning of the recitation, but usually it is better to wait until the close; for then the connection between the present lesson and the next can better be brought out.

Failure to look ahead in the text book and become familiar with the next lesson renders it impossible properly to make the assignment. The teacher must know the scope of the lesson, its chief points, and the main difficulties it will present to the class. How often teachers are obliged to say to an unprepared class: "I did not realize how hard that lesson was, or I would not have assigned so much." Or, "That lesson was longer than I intended." All of which is a confession that the teacher was unprepared to make the assignment properly. It is true that the teacher is

very busy, and has many lessons to prepare, but, on the other hand, the teacher who keeps a day ahead of the class in her preparation will find that it abundantly pays in the greater mastery of her subject and the time saved in reviewing it preparatory to the recitation. This is not time lost, it is time saved.

The young teacher's lack of knowledge of the principles underlying the art of study is a more serious matter, and a difficulty harder to overcome. Every teacher should make a special study of the psychology of attention and interest. She should also come to know how the mind naturally approaches any new subject, first securing a *synthetic* or bird's-eye view of it as a whole; how next it *analyzes* it into its elements; and how finally it thinks them together, or *synthesizes* them, into a new and better-understood whole.

There may, of course, be some lessons which can properly be assigned in a moment by telling the class how much to take in advance. This is true of lessons which are only a continuation of matter with which the class are already somewhat familiar, which they know how to study, and which contains no special difficulties. For example, spelling lessons which present no new difficulties or especially hard words; arithmetic lessons containing practice problems intended for drill instead of introducing new topics; grammar lessons consist-

ing of applications of principles or rules already mastered. But all lessons which are built upon a logical outline, or contain new or difficult principles, or involve especial difficulties of any kind should be assigned carefully and with sufficient detail to make sure that the class know how to go at work in preparing the lesson without loss of time and interest.

It is necessary, however, to observe a caution in this connection. There is some danger of assigning lessons in such a way as to render too much help, and thus relieve the pupil of the necessity of mastering it for himself. It is difficult to say whether the mistake of helping too much in the assignment, or not helping enough is the more serious. The teacher must know his class and his text book, and then use the best judgment he has in making just such suggestions as will result in the best effort and mastery by the pupils without robbing them of the necessity for work.

The following are the chief points to be observed in assigning the lesson:

1. Go over the lesson with the class in such a way as to give them a *bird's-eye view* of the whole, a general idea of what the entire lesson is about, or what it is meant to teach. Sometimes this can best be done with the books open in the hands of the pupils, the teacher calling attention to the topics treated. Occasionally the teacher may

herself state the aim or scope of the lesson without the use of the text. Getting this synthetic view of the lesson enables the pupil to go at its study with better intelligence, and also helps him better to understand the relation of the separate parts to the lesson as a whole. In this bird's-eye view of the lesson its relation to the lesson just recited, or other previous lessons should be brought out so as to unite the separate lessons into a continuous view of the subject.

2. Suggestions should be given as to the analysis of the lesson into its different topics. If the text uses a system of numerals in designating the points, the pupils should form the habit of using these in studying the lesson. For example, finding *I*, they should look for *II*, *III*, etc., thus getting the main heads. Under these main topic numerals will often be found a series of paragraphs numbered *1*, *2*, *3*, etc., indicating the different topics under each head. The system may even extend to sub-topics lettered *a*, *b*, *c*, etc. The pupil should early learn to look for and make use of these helps in the analysis of the lesson. And even when the author does not introduce any such system of numbering he still follows some outline more or less logically arranged. No better training in analysis, and no better method of mastering a lesson can be found than for the pupil himself to make a written outline of the lesson, using such a system of num-

bering the topics and sub-topics as that suggested above.

3. Children should be taught to make a final summary, or synthesis, of the lesson after they have analyzed it into its separate points. Of course a large proportion of the details learned and recited in any lesson will finally be forgotten. But this does not mean that such details were unnecessary. It rather means that their part was to help in bringing out the few main facts or points and making them clear. For most lessons can be reduced to a few chief points. These are the ones to be remembered and used in further learning. It is these important points which the pupil should summarize and fix in his memory and understanding as the final act in preparing the lesson. Not to do this is to fail to reap the best results from the work put upon the lesson, for these more important points are lost almost as readily as the less important details unless they are emphasized in some such way as has been suggested.

It is of course not meant that this summary of points should be worked out by the teacher when the lesson is being assigned. That is for the pupils to do as a result of their analysis of the lesson. But the teacher should specifically call attention to the necessity for such a summary until the habit is so fixed that the pupils follow this method of study without further direction. The

pupil's summary of the lesson should be tested in the recitation just as much as his analysis of the facts of the lesson. This is done by few teachers.

4. Particularly difficult points, or points of importance as a basis for later work should be especially emphasized in the assignment of the lesson. This will go far toward saving the fatal weakness on fundamental points which is shown in later work by so many pupils. Not having been over the ground before and therefore not realizing the importance or difficulty of the critical points in a subject, the pupils must of necessity be largely dependent on the teacher for such suggestions.

5. Pupils need to be taught to look up and come to understand the allusions and various references often used in history, reading, or other lessons. The younger pupils will often have to be shown how to do this. Therefore such points should be referred to in making the assignment, and any necessary directions should be given.

6. Not infrequently new or unusual words or phrases are encountered by pupils in preparing their lessons, and they are hampered in their study by failing to understand the new terms. The teacher, knowing his pupils, should be able to anticipate any trouble of this kind, and give such explanations or help as may be necessary when assigning the lesson.

7. In case written work is to constitute a part

of the preparation, the directions governing what is to be done should be so clear and explicit that there is no possibility of their not being understood, and the teacher being interrupted next day to explain to members of the class. Much time could be saved for both teacher and pupils, and many distractions prevented from disturbing recitations if this simple direction was followed.

8. If the principles suggested above are followed in assigning lessons, there will be little excuse for a pupil's forgetting the assignment. It will therefore be a safe rule not to repeat assignments for the benefit of careless or inattentive pupils. The teacher who will refuse to be interrupted during recitation hours to tell pupils what the lesson is, but who will reassign the lesson for the pupil at recess time, or after school, will very soon find all such troubles vanish, and will at the same time be giving her pupils valuable and necessary training in attention and memory.

EXERCISES.

1. How many times within the past week have you been asked by pupils to tell them what the lesson was after you had assigned it? So far as you can judge, what were the reasons they did not know?

2. Write ten or fifteen lines giving your opinion of the statement in the text, "Lack of time is not an adequate excuse for failure properly to assign the lesson."

3. Do you find any trouble in keeping the lessons looked over a day ahead so that you are familiar with them when you come to assign them? If not, how do you manage it? If you do find trouble in thus keeping ahead of the class, what do you do about it?

4. Have you assigned any lesson recently which you thought required only that you tell the class how much or how far to take? If so, state fully what the lesson was, and why it could properly be assigned so briefly.

5. Write out in full detail just what you did in assigning some recent lesson. Give the subject, name of the text book, the pages assigned, and tell about how much time it required for the assignment.

6. Select some lesson you will soon reach in your assignments, and write out (1) the points you will bring out in the preliminary synthesis or bird's-eye view; (2) the points the class should work out in their analysis of the lesson; and (3) the points which the class should fix upon as a final summary of the lesson.

7. Mention the points of this chapter which have helped you most, and tell how they have modified your teaching.

8. Are there any points in the chapter which seem to you impossible to put into practice? If so, tell what they are, and why they will not work.

9. Have you found any instances recently in your work in which pupils were unable to go on with the preparation of the lesson because they did not understand how to go to work? If so,

tell how the difficulty could have been obviated by more careful assignment.

10. Name and discuss briefly ten suggestions received from this booklet which have been of especial help to you.







One copy del. to Cat. Div.

22 1910

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS



0 021 364 520 1 ●